

# **Section 55**Acceptance of Applications Checklist

**Appendix 3** of <u>Advice Note Six: Preparation and submission of application documents</u>

Version: August 2022

## **Yorkshire GREEN Section 55 Acceptance of Applications Checklist**

Section 55 of the Planning Act 2008 can be viewed at legislation.gov.uk, here: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2008/29/section/55

**DISCLAIMER**: This Checklist a non-statutory checklist for the Planning Inspectorate to complete. Completion or self-assessment by the Applicant does not hold weight at the Acceptance stage. Unless specified, all references to the Planning Inspectorate are made in relation to functions being carried out on behalf of the Secretary of State for Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities.

Sec	tion 55(2) Acceptance of Applications			
1	Within 28 days (starting day after receipt) the	Date received	28-day due date	Date of decision
	Planning Inspectorate must decide whether or not to accept the application for Examination.	15 November 2022	13 December 2022	8 December 2022

Section 55(3) – the Planning Inspectorate may only accept an application if it concludes that:

**Planning Inspectorate comments** 

# Section 55(3)(a) and s55(3)(c): It is an application for an order granting development consent

Is the development a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project<sup>1</sup> (NSIP) (or does it form part of an NSIP); and does the application state on the face of it that it is an application for a Development Consent Order<sup>2</sup> (DCO) under the Planning Act 2008 (the PA2008), or equivalent words? Does the application specify the development to which it relates (i.e. which category or categories in Sections (s)14 to 30 does the Proposed Development fall)?

#### Yes

The Proposed Development set out in **Schedule 1** of the **Draft DCO** (**Doc 3.1**) includes development falling within the categories in s14 of the PA2008. The development is for the construction of a new overhead electricity transmission connections in England, and satisfies section 14(1)(b), s16(1)(a) and s16(2) and s16(3) of the PA2008.

This is consistent with the summary provided in **section 4** of the **Application Form** (**Doc 1.2**) which states that the application is for an NSIP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NSIP is defined generally in s14 with the detailed thresholds for each of the specified categories being set out in ss15 to 30

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Development consent is required for development to the extent that the development is or forms part of an NSIP (s31 of the PA2008)

	If the development does not fall within the categories in s14 to 30, has a direction been given by the Secretary of State under s35 of the PA2008 for the development to be treated as development for which development consent is required?	
3	Summary: Section 55(3)(a) and s55(3)(c)	The Planning Inspectorate is satisfied that the <b>Draft DCO</b> ( <b>Doc 3.1</b> ) includes development for which development consent is required.
	tion 55(3)(e): The Applicant in relation to the application to the app	ication made has complied with Chapter 2 of Part 5 (pre-application
4	In accordance with the EIA Regulations <sup>3</sup> , did the Applicant (prior to carrying out consultation in accordance with s42) either (a) request the Planning Inspectorate adopt a Screening Opinion in respect of the development to which the application relates, or (b) notify the Planning Inspectorate in writing that it proposed to provide an Environmental Statement in respect of that development?	Yes On 17 March 2021 the Applicant notified the Planning Inspectorate in accordance with Regulation 8(1)(b) of The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 of its intention to provide an Environmental Statement (ES) in respect of the Proposed Development. The notification was received before the start of statutory consultation on 28 October 2021.  A copy of the notification letter is provided at Appendix T of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 3).
5	Have any Adequacy of Consultation Representations <sup>4</sup> been received from 'A', 'B', 'C' and 'D' local authorities; and if so, do they confirm that the Applicant has complied with the duties under s42, s47 and s48?	Yes  There are 24 host and neighbouring authorities, of which 11 responded to the Planning Inspectorate's invitation to make an Adequacy of Consultation Representation (AoCR) dated 16 November 2022.  All 11 responding authorities confirmed in their AoCR that either the Applicant had complied with its duties under s42, s47 and s48 of the PA2008 and/ or that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Regulation 8 of The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 (2017 EIA Regulations), or where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies, Regulation 6 of The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2009 (2009 EIA Regulations)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 55(4) of the PA2008 provides that the Planning Inspectorate must have regard to the Consultation Report, and any Adequacy of Consultation Representations received

		their authority had no comments/ objections to make. These local authorities were:  • East Riding of Yorkshire Council ('A' authority)  • Wakefield Metropolitan District Council ('A' authority)  • Ryedale District Council ('A' authority)  • Kirklees Council ('A' authority)  • Leeds City Council ('B' authority)  • Larrogate Borough Council ('B' authority)  • City of York Council ('B' authority)  • Selby District Council ('B' authority)  • North Yorkshire County Council ('C' authority)  • Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council ('D' authority)  • Durham County Council ('D' authority)  All AoCRs received have been carefully considered and are available to view on the National Infrastructure Planning website:  https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/yorkshire-and-the-humber/yorkshire- green/?ipcsection=docs&stage=2&filter1=Adequacy+of+Consultation+Representation
Sec	tion 42: Duty to consult	
	•	in s42 of the PA2008 about the proposed application?
6	Section 42(1)(a) persons prescribed <sup>5</sup> ?	Yes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Statutory consultees set out in Schedule 1 of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 (APFP Regulations)

The Applicant has provided a list of persons consulted under s42(1)(a) on 28 October 2021 at **Appendix D** of the **Consultation Report Appendices** (**Doc 6.2**, **Part 1**).

A sample of the letter sent to s42(1)(a) consultees is provided at **Appendix D** of the **Consultation Report Appendices** (**Doc 6.2**, **Part 1**).

The Planning Inspectorate has identified the following parties based on a precautionary interpretation of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 (the APFP Regulations) that do not appear to have been consulted by the Applicant under s42:

- Wales and West Utilities Limited
- Leep Gas Networks Limited
- Mua Electricity Limited
- Optimal Power Networks Limited
- National Grid Electricity System Operator Limited

The Applicant's **Consultation Report** (**Doc 6.1**) does not explain why the bodies identified above do not appear to have been consulted. However, it is noted that the licences held by these bodies cover Great Britain or various smaller areas and the operational areas of each are not clear from information in the public domain.

None of the bodies listed above have been identified by the Applicant as having an interest in the Order lands and none are listed in the **Book of Reference** (**Doc 4.3**).

Section 51 advice has been issued to the Applicant in respect of the above matter: <a href="http://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/document/EN020024-000326">http://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/document/EN020024-000326</a>

7	Section 42(1)(aa) the Marine Management Organisation <sup>6</sup> ?	Not Applicable
8	Section 42(1)(b) each local authority within s43 <sup>7</sup> ?	Yes
		Paragraphs 6.2.9 to 6.2.12 of the Consultation Report (Doc 6.1) list the relevant local authorities that were identified and consulted under s43 and s42(1)(b) on 28 October 2021.
		The host 'B' authorities were consulted:
		Selby District Council
		Harrogate Borough Council
		Hambleton District Council
		Leeds City Council
		City of York Council
		The host 'C' authority was consulted:
		North Yorkshire County Council
		The boundary 'A' authorities were consulted:
		Craven District Council
		Richmondshire District Council
		Ryedale District Council
		Scarborough Borough Council
		Wakefield Metropolitan District Council

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In any case where the Proposed Development would affect, or would be likely to affect, any of the areas specified in s42(2) of the PA2008

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Definition of 'local authority' in s43(3) of the PA2008: The 'B' authority where the application land is in the authority's area; the 'A' authority where any part of the boundary of A's area is also a part of the boundary of B's area; the 'C' authority (upper tier) where the application land is in that authority's area; the 'D' authority (upper tier) where such an authority shares a boundary with a 'C' authority

	s44 categories <sup>8</sup> ?	Paragraph 6.3.26 of the Consultation Report (Doc 6.1) states that all persons identified under s42(1)(d) before the start of the statutory consultation were consulted on 28 October 2021. A sample of the letter dated 28 October 2021 is
10	Section 42(1)(d) each person in one or more of	Yes
9	Section 42(1)(c) the Greater London Authority (if in Greater London area)?	Not Applicable
		A sample of the letter sent to s42(1)(b) relevant authorities is provided at <b>Appendix E</b> of the <b>Consultation Report Appendices</b> ( <b>Doc 6.2</b> , <b>Part 1</b> ).
		Cumbria County Council
		Lancashire County Council
		Durham County Council
		Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council
		Middlesbrough Council
		Darlington Borough Council
		North York Moors National Park Authority
		Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council
		Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority
		The boundary 'D' authorities were consulted:
		East Riding of Yorkshire Council
		Kirklees Council
		Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council
		City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Category 1: owner, lessee, tenant or occupier of land; Category 2: person interested in the land or has power to sell and convey the land or to release the land; Category 3: persons who would or might be entitled to make a relevant claim.

provided at Appendix G of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1).

**Paragraph 6.3.31** of the **Consultation Report** (**Doc 6.1**) explains that 285 additional persons were identified under s42(1)(d) and were consulted on 10 and 11 November 2021.

Paragraphs 6.3.32 to 6.3.39 of the Consultation Report (Doc 6.1) explain that it was identified that most of the letters sent on 10 and 11 November 2021 had been sent in error and that insufficient time had been given for receipt of consultation responses. Further letters were sent out to rectify the errors as follows:

- Letter 1 was sent on 1 December 2021 to 38 persons to extend the consultation period. A sample of Letter 1 dated 1 December 2021 is provided at Appendix H1 of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1);
- Letter 2 was sent on 3 December 2021 to 197 persons to advise that the land in which they have an interest had been scoped out of the project. A sample of Letter 2 dated 3 December 2021 is provided at Appendix H2 of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1);
- Letter 3a was sent on 8 December 2021 to two persons with updated contact details and to extend the consultation period. A sample of Letter 3a dated 8 December 2021 is provided at Appendix H3 of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1);
- Letter 3b was sent on 9 and 14 December 2021 to three persons to extend the consultation period. A sample of Letter 3b dated 9 and 14 December 2021 is provided at Appendix H4 of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1);
- Letter 3c was sent on 10 and 15 December 2021 to representatives, trustees or copy addresses of eight persons already identified and consulted on 28 October 2021. A sample of Letter 3c dated 10 December 2021 is provided at Appendix H5 of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1). A sample of the letter dated 15 December 2021 has not been provided;

 Letter 3d was sent on 14 December 2021 to 20 persons who had been sent a letter on 10 November 2021 in error. A sample of Letter 3d dated 14 December 2021 is provided at Appendix H6 of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1).

Paragraph 6.3.43 of the Consultation Report (Doc 6.1) explains that a letter was sent on 21 December 2021 to a newly identified land interest. A copy of the letter is provided at Appendix I of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1).

Paragraph 6.3.49 of the Consultation Report (Doc 6.1) explains that letters were sent on 14 March 2022 to 59 newly identified persons with an interest in land. A sample of the letter is provided at Appendix I of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1).

Paragraph 6.3.51 of the Consultation Report (Doc 6.1) explains that letters were sent on 22 April 2022 to two newly identified land interests. A sample of the letter is provided at Appendix I of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1).

Paragraph 6.3.53 of the Consultation Report (Doc 6.1) explains that letters were sent on 3 August 2022 to 18 newly identified land interests. A sample of the letter is provided at Appendix I of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1).

Paragraphs 6.3.17 to 6.3.25 of the Consultation Report (Doc 6.1) summarise how the Applicant made diligent inquiry to seek to identify and consult persons with an interest in land affected by the Draft DCO (Doc 3.1). The full methodology undertaken by the Applicant is provided at Appendix C of the Statement of Reasons (Doc 4.1).

The persons consulted under s42(1)(d) are listed at **Appendix D** of the **Consultation Report Appendices** (**Doc 6.2**, **Part 1**).

Hard-to-reach groups engagement

Paragraph 6.10.7 to 6.10.10 of the Consultation Report (Doc 6.1) explains that a group of travellers occupies land within the vicinity of the Order Limits (plots E7-34 and E7-40 at the junction of the A1 and A63). It sets out the steps taken to notify the owners and occupiers of the land about the Proposed Development and to consult them. The Applicant's due diligence (Paragraph 6.10.10 of the Consultation Report (Doc 6.1)) indicates that the occupiers of the land are the landowners.

Table 6.5 of the Consultation Report (Doc 6.1) summarises how the Applicant sought to engage with the owners / occupiers of the land, and Table 6.1 of the Consultation Report (Doc 6.1) confirms that the Applicant initiated engagement prior to the commencement of the Statutory Consultation on the 28 October 2021. Appendix D of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1) lists the Persons with an Interest in the Land that were consulted on the 28 October 2021, which appears to include the details of the owners/occupiers of the traveller site.

Paragraph 6.10.7 of the Consultation Report (Doc 6.1) appears to have an error in which it is stated that the Applicant became aware of the traveller's site during the Statutory Consultation period, suggesting that the owners/occupiers were not included in the Statutory Consultation of 28 October 2021. However, the evidence set out in Table 6.5 of the Consultation Report (Doc 6.1) and corroborated in Appendix D of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1) appears to confirm that the owners/occupiers of the traveller site have been duly consulted.

To conclude the Planning Inspectorate is satisfied, based on the information provided above, that the Applicant has complied with s42(1)(d) of the Planning Act 2008.

# Section 45: Timetable for s42 consultation

Did the Applicant notify s42 consultees of the deadline for receipt of consultation responses; and if so was the deadline notified by the Applicant 28 days or more starting with the day after receipt of the consultation documents?

#### Yes

A sample of the letter sent to s42 consultees is provided at **Appendix G** of the **Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1)**.

The sample letter dated 28 October 2021 confirmed that consultation commenced on 28 October 2021 and closed on 9 December 2021, providing more than the required minimum time for receipt of responses.

The following further letters were sent:

- 1 December 2021. This advised responses could be submitted up until 12 January 2022, providing more than the required time for receipt of responses. A sample of the letter is provided at Appendix H1 of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1);
- 8 December 2021. This advised responses could be submitted up until 17 January 2022, providing more than the required time for receipt of responses. A sample of the letter is provided at Appendix H3 of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1);
- 9 December 2021. This advised responses could be submitted up until 17 January 2022, providing more than the required time for receipt of responses. A sample of the letter is provided at Appendix H4 of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1);
- 14 December 2021. This advised responses could be submitted up until 21 January 2022, providing more than the required time for receipt of responses. A sample of the letter is provided at Appendix H4 of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1);

# Section 46: Duty to notify the Planning Inspectorate of proposed application

Did the Applicant supply information to notify the Planning Inspectorate of the proposed application; and if so, was the information supplied to the Planning Inspectorate on or

### Yes

The Applicant gave notice under s46 on 26 October 2021, which was before the beginning of s42 consultation.

	before the date it was sent to the s42 consultees? Was this done on or before commencing consultation under s42?	A copy of the s46 notification letter is provided at <b>Appendix L1</b> of the <b>Consultation Report Appendices</b> ( <b>Doc 6.2</b> , <b>Part 1</b> ) and a copy of the s46 notification acknowledgement letter from the Planning Inspectorate is provided at <b>Appendix L2</b> of the <b>Consultation Report Appendices</b> ( <b>Doc 6.2</b> , <b>Part 1</b> ).
Sec	tion 47: Duty to consult local community	
13	Did the Applicant prepare a Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) on how it intended to consult people living in the vicinity of the land?	Yes A copy of the final SoCC is provided at Appendix B4 of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1).
14	Were 'B' and (where relevant) 'C' authorities consulted about the content of the SoCC; and if so, was the deadline for receipt of responses 28 days beginning with the day after the day that 'B' and, where applicable, 'C' authorities received the consultation documents?	Yes  The Applicant sent the draft SoCC to Hambleton District Council, Harrogate Borough Council, Leeds City Council, Selby District Council and City of York Council ('B Authorities') and North Yorkshire County Council ('C Authority') on 12 August 2021 and set a deadline of 10 September 2021 for responses, providing more than the required minimum time for responses to be received.
15	Has the Applicant had regard to any responses received when preparing the SoCC?	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>Table 5.1 of the Consultation Report (Doc 6.1) and Appendix B3 of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1) provide a summary of the consultation responses from Harrogate Borough Council, Leeds City Council, Selby District Council and North Yorkshire County Council in respect of the draft SoCC and demonstrate how the Applicant had regard to their content.</li> <li>Examples of changes from the draft SoCC to the final SoCC include: <ul> <li>Inclusion of dates and times of the consultation events;</li> <li>Finish times of three of the consultation events extended to 19.30;</li> <li>Clarity added on efforts to engage with hard-to-reach groups and other stakeholders.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

		The Planning Inspectorate is satisfied that the Applicant had regard to the responses received when preparing the SoCC.
16	Has the SoCC been made available for	Yes
	behalf of the Applicant; and has a notice been	The final SoCC was made available at the following locations, which is reasonably convenient having regard to the location of the Proposed Development:
	the SoCC can be inspected?	Poppleton Library
		Sherburn & Villages Community Library
		Tadcaster Library
		Clifton Explore Library
		York Explore Library and Archive
		Harrogate Library
		Selby Library
		Knaresborough Library
		Wetherby Library and Tourist Information
		Castleford Forum Library & Museum
		Airedale Library
		Pontefract Library
		Snaith Library
		A notice stating when and where the final SoCC could be inspected was published in:
		Yorkshire Post on 20 October 2021

		The published SoCC notice, provided at <b>Appendix M</b> of the <b>Consultation Report Appendices</b> ( <b>Doc 6.2</b> , <b>Part 1</b> ) states where and when the final SoCC was available to inspect.  A clipping of the published advertisement is provided at <b>Appendix M</b> of the
		Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1).
17	Does the SoCC set out whether the development is EIA development <sup>9</sup> ; and does it set out how the Applicant intends to publicise and consult on the Preliminary Environmental Information?	Yes  Paragraph 3.2.4 of the final SoCC at Appendix B4 of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1) states that the development is EIA development and sets out how the Applicant intended to publicise and consult on the Preliminary Environmental Information.
18	Has the Applicant carried out the consultation in	Yes
	accordance with the SoCC?	Paragraph 6.6.3 of the Consultation Report (Doc 6.1) states that the community consultation was carried out in line with the final SoCC.
		<b>Table 6.1</b> of the <b>Consultation Report</b> ( <b>Doc 6.1</b> ) sets out how the Applicant has complied with the commitments set out in the final SoCC.
		Appendices C, O, P, Q, R and S of the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1, 2 and 3) provide evidence that the commitments within the final SoCC have been carried out.
		Although the Planning Inspectorate is satisfied that the Applicant has carried out the consultation in line with the final SoCC, the following observation has been noted with regard to certain commitments:
		<ul> <li>The statutory consultation did not run for six weeks for all consultees, however all had more than the statutory minimum period (28 days) to respond.</li> </ul>

# Section 48: Duty to publicise the proposed application

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Regulation 12 of the 2017 EIA Regulations, or where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies, Regulation 10 of the 2009 EIA Regulations

19 Did the Applicant publicise the proposed application in the prescribed manner set out in Regulation 4(2) of the APFP Regulations which may encompass changes made by The Infrastructure Planning (Publication and Notification of Applications etc.) (Amendment) Regulations 2020?

#### Yes

**Table 6.2** of the **Consultation Report** (**Doc 6.1**) displays the newspapers and dates of s48 publicity as set out below.

A copy of the s48 notice is provided at **Appendix O8** of the **Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 2)**.

Clippings of the published notices set out below are provided at **Appendix N** of the **Consultation Report Appendices** (**Doc 6.2**, **Part 1**).

		the Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1).	
		Newspaper(s)	Date
a)	for at least two successive weeks in one or more local newspapers circulating in the vicinity in which the Proposed Development would be situated;	Yorkshire Post	20 October 2021 and 27 October 2021
b)	once in a national newspaper;	The Guardian	27 October 2021
c)	once in the London Gazette and, if land in Scotland is affected, the Edinburgh Gazette; and	London Gazette	27 October 2021
d)	where the proposed application relates to offshore development –  (i) once in Lloyds List; and  (ii) once in an appropriate fishing trade journal?	N/A	N/A
20	Did the s48 notice include the required information set out in Regulation 4(3) of APFP Regulations, which may encompass changes made by The Infrastructure Planning (Publication and	Yes The published s48 notice, supplied at Appendix N of the ConsAppendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1), contains the required information below.	-

Notification of Applications etc.) (Amendment) Regulations 2020?

	Information	Paragraph		Information	Paragraph
a)	the name and address of the Applicant.	1	b)	a statement that the Applicant intends to make an application for development consent to the Secretary of State	1
c)	a statement as to whether the application is EIA development	6	d)	a summary of the main proposals, specifying the location or route of the Proposed Development	2
e)	a statement that the documents, plans and maps were available on a website maintained by or on behalf of the Applicant. The statement must include:	7 and 18	f)	the latest date on which those documents, plans and maps will be available for inspection	8
	<ul> <li>the nature and location of the Proposed Development</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>The address of the website</li> </ul>				
	The place on the website				
	<ul> <li>A telephone number which can be used to contact the Applicant for enquiries in relation to the documents, plans and maps.</li> </ul>				

g)	whether a charge will be made for copies of any of the documents, plans or maps and the amount of any charge	11	h	1)	details of how to respond to the publicity	13
i)	a deadline for receipt of those responses by the Applicant, being not less than 28 days following the date when the notice is last published	16				
21	Are there any observations in respect of the	s48 noti	ce provid	led a	above?	
	No					
22	Has a copy of the s48 notice been sent to the EIA consultation bodies and to any person notified to the Applicant in accordance with EIA Regulations 10?		s42 cons Report (	sulta ( <b>Doo</b> e of t <b>atio</b>	e s48 notice was sent to the EIA consultation bodies a ation, as confirmed in <b>paragraph 10.3.1</b> of the <b>Consults 6.1</b> ). the s42 consultation letter provided at <b>Appendix E</b> of <b>n Report</b> ( <b>Doc 6.1</b> ) confirms that a copy of the s48 no	the
s49	: Duty to take account of responses to co	nsultatio			city	
23	Has the Applicant had regard to any relevan		Yes			
	responses to the s42, s47 and s48 consulta		Paragra (Doc 6.1	i) se	7.5.4 to 7.5.15 and Tables 7.3 to 7.8 of the Consultant out how the Applicant had regard to the consultation cluding whether or not responses led to changes to the	responses
					informed by the consultation responses appear to be not not the application as submitted. Where a particular re	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Regulation 13 of the 2017 EIA Regulations, or where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies, Regulation 11 of the 2009 EIA Regulations

		not led to a change in the application, it is sufficiently clear that regard was had to it.
Gui	dance about pre-application procedure	
24	To what extent has the Applicant had regard to statutory guidance 'Planning Act 2008: Guidance	<b>Table 3.4</b> of the <b>Consultation Report</b> ( <b>Doc 6.1</b> ) sets out how the Applicant has taken into consideration all relevant statutory and other guidance.
	on the pre-application process'11?	Having reviewed the application, the Planning Inspectorate is satisfied that the Applicant has identified and had regard to the relevant statutory guidance.
25	Summary: Section 55(3)(e)	The Applicant has complied with Chapter 2 of Part 5 (pre-application procedure) of the PA2008.
		In respect of the minor consultation discrepancies identified, s51 advice has been provided to the Applicant how in this regard, available here: <a href="http://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/document/EN020024-000326">http://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/document/EN020024-000326</a>
e55		
to v		ompaniments) achieves a satisfactory standard having regard to the extent itents of application) and with any standards set under section 37(5) and
to v	which it complies with section 37(3) (form and conows any applicable guidance under section 37(4)  Is it made in the prescribed form as set out in	
to v	which it complies with section 37(3) (form and conows any applicable guidance under section 37(4)	tents of application) and with any standards set under section 37(5) and
to v	which it complies with section 37(3) (form and conows any applicable guidance under section 37(4)  Is it made in the prescribed form as set out in Schedule 2 of the APFP Regulations, and does it	Yes Section 4 of the Application Form (Doc 1.2) explains why the development

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The Planning Inspectorate must have regard to the extent to which the Applicant has had regard to guidance issued under s50

27	Is it accompanied by a Consultation Report?	Yes
		The application is accompanied by a Consultation Report (Doc 6.1) and Consultation Report Appendices (Doc 6.2, Part 1 - 3).
28	Where a plan comprises three or more separate sheets, has a key plan been provided showing the relationship between the different sheets? <sup>12</sup>	Yes
29	Is it accompanied by the documents and information set out in APFP Regulation 5(2)?	The documents and information required by APFP Regulation 5(2) are set out in the documents and locations within the application as listed below.

	Information	Document		Information	Document
a)	Where applicable, the Environmental Statement required under the EIA Regulations <sup>13</sup> and any scoping or screening opinions or directions	Environmental Statement (ES) Non Technical Summary (Doc 5.1) ES Chapters 1 to 18 (Doc 5.2.1 - 5.2.18) ES Appendices (Doc 5.3.1A to 5.3.18A) ES Figures (Doc 5.4.1 to 5.4.18)	b)	The draft Development Consent Order (DCO)	Draft Development Consent Order (Doc 3.1)
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
c)	An Explanatory Memorandum explaining the purpose and effect of	Explanatory Memorandum (Doc 3.2)	d)	Where applicable, a Book of Reference	Book of Reference (Doc 4.3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Regulation 5(4) of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 <sup>13</sup> The 2017 EIA Regulations, or where Regulation 37 of the 2017 EIA Regulations applies, the 2009 EIA Regulations

	provisions in the draft DCO				
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	<b>Yes</b> (with minor discrepancies as noted in box 30)		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
e)	A copy of any Flood Risk Assessment	ES Chapter 9 Appendix 9D Flood Risk Assessment (Doc 5.3.9D)	f)	A statement whether the proposal engages one or more of the matters set out in section 79(1) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 (statutory nuisances) and if so how the Applicant proposes to mitigate or limit them	Statement of Statutory Nuisance (Doc 6.5) has been provided, which considers the matters set out in section 79(1) of the Environmental Protection Act 1990.  It draws on assessments carried out as part of the ES (Doc 5.2), in particular, Chapter 6 Landscape and Visual Impact for artificial lighting (Doc 5.2.6), Chapter 13 Air Quality (Doc 5.2.13) and Chapter 14 Noise and Vibration Document (Doc 5.2.14).  The Applicant concludes that with implementation of the mitigation measures described in the document and relevant chapters of the ES there would be no effects giving rise to statutory nuisance.
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes
h)	A Statement of Reasons and a Funding Statement (where the application	Statement of Reasons (Doc 4.1) Funding Statement (Doc 4.2)	i)	A Land Plan identifying:-  (i) the land required for, or affected by,	(i) Land Plan Section A (Doc 2.5.1) (ii) Land Plan Section B (Doc 2.5.2)

	involves any Compulsory Acquisition)			the Proposed Development;  (ii) where applicable, any land over which it is proposed to exercise powers of Compulsory Acquisition or any rights to use land;	(iii) (iv) (v) (vi)	Land Plan Section C (Doc 2.5.3) Land Plan Section D (Doc 2.5.4) Land Plan Section E (Doc 2.5.5) Land Plan Section F (Doc 2.5.6)
				<ul> <li>(iii) any land in relation to which it is proposed to extinguish easements, servitudes and other private rights; and</li> <li>(iv) any special category land and replacement land</li> </ul>		
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	,	with minor discrepancies as I in Box 30)
j)	A Works Plan showing, in relation to existing features:-  (i) the proposed location or (for a linear scheme) the proposed route and alignment of the	<ul> <li>(i) Works Plan Section A (Do 2.6.1)</li> <li>(ii) Works Plan Section B (Do 2.6.2)</li> <li>(iii) Works Plan Section C (Doc 2.6.3)</li> <li>(iv) Works Plan Section D (Doc 2.6.4)</li> </ul>	k)	Where applicable, a plan identifying any new or altered means of access, stopping up of streets or roads or any diversions, extinguishments or creation of rights of way	(i) (ii)	Access, Rights of Way and Public Rights of Navigation Plan Section A (Doc 2.7.1) Access, Rights of Way and Public Rights of Navigation Plan Section B (Doc 2.7.2)

	development and works; and  (ii) the limits within which the development and works may be carried out and any limits of deviation provided for in the draft DCO	(v) Works Plan Section E (Doc 2.6.5) (vi) Works Plan Section F (Doc 2.6.6)		or public rights of navigation	(iii) (iv) (v) (vi)	Access, Rights of Way and Public Rights of Navigation Plan Section C (Doc 2.7.3) Access, Rights of Way and Public Rights of Navigation Plan Section D (Doc 2.7.4) Access, Rights of Way and Public Rights of Navigation Plan Section E (Doc 2.7.5) Access, Rights of Way and Public Rights of Way and Public Rights of Navigation Plan Section F (Doc 2.7.6)
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory standard?		(with minor discrepancies as d in Box 30)
1)	Where applicable, a plan with accompanying information identifying:-  (i) any statutory or nonstatutory sites or features of nature conservation eg sites of geological or landscape importance;  (ii) habitats of protected species, important habitats or other diversity features; and	<ul> <li>(i) Statutory or Non-Statutory Sites or Features of Nature Conservation Plan Sections A – F (Doc 2.8.1 to 2.8.6).</li> <li>Assessment provided in ES Chapter 8 Biodiversity (Doc 5.2.8).</li> <li>(ii) and (iii) Habitats of Protected Species, Important Habitats or Other Diversity Features and Water Bodies Plan Sections A – F (Doc 2.9.1 – 2.9.6).</li> <li>Assessments provided in:</li> </ul>	m)	Where applicable, a plan with accompanying information identifying any statutory or nonstatutory sites or features of the historic environment, (eg scheduled monuments, World Heritage sites, listed buildings, archaeological sites and registered battlefields) together with an assessment of any effects on such sites, features or structures likely to be caused by	Feat Envi	utory or Non-Statutory Sites or cures of the Historic ironment Plan Sections A – Fouments 2.10.1 to 2.10.6).  Assessment provided in ES Chapter 7 Historic Environment (Document 5.2.6).

	(iii) water bodies in a river basin management plan, together with an assessment of any effects on such sites, features, habitats or bodies likely to be caused by the Proposed Development	ES Chapter 8 Biodiversity (Doc 5.2.8).  ES Chapter 9 Hydrology (Doc 5.2.9).  ES Chapter 10 Geology and Hydrogeology (Doc 5.2.10).  ES Appendix 10D  Hydrogeology Water  Framework Directive (WFD)  Assessment (Doc 5.3.10D).		the Proposed Development		
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes	
n)	Where applicable, a plan with any accompanying information identifying any Crown land	Crown and Special Category Land Plan (Doc 2.13)	0)	Any other plans, drawings and sections necessary to describe the development consent proposal showing details of design, external appearance, and the preferred layout of buildings, structures, drainage, surface water management, means of vehicular and pedestrian access, any car parking and landscaping	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv) (v) (vi)	Overall Location Plan (Doc 2.1)  Master Key to Section Identification Plan (Doc 2.2) Trees and Hedgerows Potentially Affected Plan (Docs 2.11.1-2.11.6) Traffic Regulation Order Plans (Docs 2.12.1-2.12.6) Design Drawings (Volume 2, Doc 2.15) Construction Plans (Doc 2.16)
	Is this of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Are they of a satisfactory standard?	Yes	

p)	Any of the documents prescribed by Regulation 6 of the APFP Regulations:	The Project does not fall within any of the categories of development laid out in Regulation 6 of the APFP Regulations. This is confirmed in section 22 on the Application Form (Doc 1.2).	q)	Any other documents considered necessary to support the application	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv) (v) (vi) (viii) (ix) (xi) (xii) (xiii) (xiv)	Application Guide (Doc 1.3) Glossary (Doc 1.4) Plan Guidance Document (Doc 2.3) Electric and Magnetic Fields Report (Doc 6.3) Planning Statement (Doc 7.1) Design and Access Statement (Doc 7.2)
					, ,	Design and Access Statement (Doc 7.2) Details of Other Consents
					(xv)	and Licences (Doc 7.3) Updated Need Case (Doc 7.4)
					(xvi)	Strategic Proposal 2019 (Doc 7.5)

	Are they of a satisfactory standard?	Yes		Are they of a satisfactory standard?	Yes	
30	Are there any observation	s in respect of the docume	ents provided at	t Box 29 (a) to (q) above?		
	<ul> <li>Explanatory Memorandum (Doc 3.2)</li> <li>Schedule 5 in the EM is listed as "Benefit of the Order Rules", however Schedule 5 in the dDCO is listed as "Transfer of Benefit Rules".</li> <li>Land Plans (Doc 2.5.1 – 2.5.6)</li> <li>Some of the plans are lacking identifiers, mostly on roads/lanes (e.g., Plainville Lane in B1 and Shipton Road B2)</li> <li>Some areas of the Land Plans are muddled through labelling of identifiers.</li> <li>Access, Rights of Way and Public Rights of Navigation Plans (Docs 2.7.1 – 2.7.6)</li> <li>AP20 and AP21 are listed in Schedule 7 as being on sheet F4, but they are on sheet E4.</li> <li>AP22 and AP26 are listed in Schedule 7 as being on sheet F3, but they are on sheet E3.</li> <li>Section 51 advice has been issued to the Applicant in respect of the above matters:</li> </ul>					
	http://infrastructure.plannii		1	<u>24-000326</u>		
31	Is the application accompanded identifying any European sequence Regulation 48 of The Control Habitats &c.) Regulations	site(s) to which servation (Natural	Yes  A No Significant Effects Report (Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening) (NSER) Report has been provided ( <b>Doc 6.4</b> ).			
				port identifies relevant European sites and the likely effects on those nsidered that the information provided in the report is adequate for		
	to make an appropriate assessment of the implications for the site if required by Regulation 48(1)? <sup>14</sup>		Examination. the HRA Repo	Examining Authority will be able to ask questions during the on. This may result in additional information being required to inform eport and the competent authority. Depending upon the type and of information required it may not be possible to obtain this during the type of the Examination.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Regulation 5(2)(g) of the APFP Regulations

32	If requested by the Planning Inspectorate, two paper copies of the application form and other supporting documents and plans <sup>15</sup>	No hard copies requested				
33	Has the Applicant had regard to statutory guidance 'Planning Act 2008: Application form guidance', and has this regard led to the application being prepared to a standard that the Planning Inspectorate considers satisfactory?	Yes  The Planning Inspectorate is satisfied that the Applicant has demonstrated regard to the guidance principles.				
34	Summary - s55(3)(f) and s55(5A)	The Planning Inspectorate concludes that the application (including accompaniments) has been prepared to a standard that it considers satisfactory.				
		In respect of the discrepancies identified in Box 30 of this checklist, to help facilitate an efficient and effective examination of the application, s51 advice has been provided to the Applicant in conjunction with the decision to accept the application. That advice is published on the National Infrastructure Planning website, here: <a href="http://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/document/EN020024-000326">http://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/document/EN020024-000326</a>				
The	The Infrastructure Planning (Fees) Regulations 2010 (as amended)					
Fees to accompany an application						
35	Was the fee paid at the same time that the application was made 16?	The fee was received on 09 November 2022; before the application was made.				

Polo	Electronic signature	Date
Role	Electronic signature	Date

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Regulation 5(2)(r) of the APFP Regulations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The Planning Inspectorate must charge the Applicant a fee in respect of the decision by the Planning Inspectorate under section 55 of the PA2008. If the Applicant fails to pay the fee, the Planning Inspectorate need not consider the application until payment is received. The fee must be paid at the same time that the application is made

Case Manager	Paige Hanlon	8 December 2022
Acceptance Inspector	Jessica Powis	8 December 2022